

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/805,352		03/22/2004	Jae-Ryong Park	1572.1205	9008
21171	7590	05/26/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & 1	HALSEY	Y LLP	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1761	
				DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/805,352	PARK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuf Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pr	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 22 March 2004 is/are:	er. a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected if the drawing(s) is objected to the dr	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Djected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in Applicatority documents have been received in the contract of	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3,5,8/04; 1/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Clark.

There is disclosed in Clark an oven having a main body 12, 14, 16, 18, the oven comprising: a rear panel 30 detachably placed inside the main body; side panels 28 detachably connected to opposite sides of the rear panel; an upper heater 42 and a lower heater 52 disposed at an upper panel 34 and a lower panel 36 of the oven, the upper heater providing a downwardly sloped protrusion in the upper panel; and a ceramic material coating 32, 38 on the surface of the oven panels.

In regards to the recited use of the device as a bread maker, there is provided no structure in the claims define the device as such.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedenberg '009 in view of Clark.

Art Unit: 1761

There is disclosed in Hedenberg a bread maker, comprising: a main body 2; an oven (see fig. 2) having side walls; a door 10; an upper sloped heater 20 and lower heater 18; a baking tray 25; and baking tray rails 34 connected to a lower portion of the oven side walls.

Clark, as discussed in the rejection above, discloses the use of rear and side oven panels having a ceramic coating, as well as an upper panel (upper bending part) and lower panel (lower bending part) attached to an upper end and lower end of the rear panel.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide a ceramic coating on the oven side walls of Hedenberg as taught by Clark, in order to protect the surface of the walls.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide the oven of Hedenberg with rear, upper and lower detachable walls in addition to the side walls as taught by Clark, for the purpose of providing a complete enclosed oven chamber having good heat reflecting capabilities. Additionally, the walls of the oven will provide protection from heat for the surrounding elements within the main body of the bread maker.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Dornbush et al. and Wang are cited for their disclosure of an oven enclosure surrounding a baking tray. The patents to Yoshida et al. and Takahashi et al. are cited for their disclosure of an upper sloped heating element.

Application/Control Number: 10/805,352

Art Unit: 1761

The patents to Takeuchi and Walden are cited for their disclosure of the state of the art

and a ceramic oven coating respectively.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-

272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Degral L. Depart Reginald L. Alexander Page 4

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

rla

23 May 2006